

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



HC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/902,523	07/10/2001	Wesley D. Sterman	HRT-0248	HRT-0248 7450	
27777 75	590 10/01/2002				
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J ONE JOHNSO	OHNSON N & JOHNSON PLAZA	ON PLAZA ISABELLA, DAVID J		, DAVID J	
NEW BRUNS	WICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	;ant(s)				
Office Action Cummons	09/902,523	STERMAN ET AL.	- OH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this commu  OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	<u>1ay 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the mail 1, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-81</u> is/are pending in the application	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-81 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers	-						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appl	ication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		vlication)				
			incation).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3738

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to method for surgery within the heart cavity, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- II. Claims 26-42, drawn to a surgical system, classified in class 604, subclass27.
- III. Claims 43-56, drawn to cannula with an obturator, classified in class 604, subclass 506.
- IV. Claims 57-67, drawn to cannula with an organizer, classified in class 604, subclass 106.
- V. Claims 68-72, drawn to thorascope, classified in class 600, subclass 48.
- VI. Claims 73-84, drawn to a valve prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 2.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group 1 and group 2 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the system may be used in a beating heart procedure.

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions of group 3,4,5 and 6 are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in each group has separate utility such as being used independently of each other. The thorascope may be used for exploratory surgery of other organs including the intestines, liver,etc. The prosthesis does not require the cannula of group 3 and may be used in a standard heart surgery. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If applicant elects group 3, then a further election between the combination of a cannula/obturator and a cannula/organizer is required.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 3738

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Brian Tomko on 9/27/02 (732.524.1239) to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3580 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

dji September 28, 2002